

WEIL-PETERSSON GEOMETRY AND DETERMINANT BUNDLES ON INDUCTIVE LIMITS OF MODULI SPACES ¹

Indranil Biswas and Subhashis Nag

Abstract

In the paper [BNS] the authors and Dennis Sullivan constructed the universal direct system of the classical Teichmüller spaces of Riemann surfaces of varying genus. The direct limit, which we called the universal commensurability Teichmüller space, $\mathcal{T}_\infty$, was shown to carry on it a natural action of the universal commensurability mapping class group, MC_∞ . In this paper we identify an interesting cofinal sub-system corresponding to the tower of finite-sheeted *characteristic* coverings over any fixed base surface X . Utilizing a certain subgroup $\text{Caut}(\pi_1(X))$ inside MC_∞ , (associated intimately to this characteristic tower), we descend to an inductive system of *moduli* spaces, and construct the direct limit ind-variety $\mathcal{M}_\infty(X)$.

Invoking curvature properties of Quillen metrics on determinant bundles, and naturality under finite coverings of Weil-Petersson forms, we are able to construct on $\mathcal{M}_\infty(X)$ the natural sequence of determinant of cohomology line bundles, as well as the Mumford isomorphisms connecting these.

I. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{T}_g$ denote the Teichmüller space comprising compact marked Riemann surfaces of genus g , and $\mathcal{M}_g$ be the moduli space of Riemann surfaces of genus g obtained by quotienting $\mathcal{T}_g$ by the action of the mapping class (=modular) group, MC_g . Denote by $DET_n \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_g$ the line bundle given by the determinant of cohomology construction for the n -th tensor power ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$) of the relative cotangent bundle on the universal family of Riemann surfaces, $\mathcal{C}_g$, over $\mathcal{T}_g$; (see IV.1 below and [BNS], [D], for detailed definitions). The bundle DET_0 is classically called the Hodge line bundle; it is a fundamental fact that Hodge generates the entire Picard group of the moduli functor (see the section cited above).

Each bundle DET_n comes equipped with a hermitian structure which is obtained from the construction of Quillen of metrics on determinant bundles, [Q]. Quillen's construction is subordinate to the choice of a smoothly varying family of Kähler metrics on the fibers of the family of Riemann surfaces; we utilize the Poincaré hyperbolic metric on the fibers of $\mathcal{C}_g$ (for $g \geq 2$) to obtain the corresponding natural Quillen metric on each DET_n .

¹Mathematics Subject Classification: 32G15, 30F60, 14H15.

Preprint no.: imsc-96/05/15

By applying the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem, Mumford [Mum] had shown that DET_n is a certain fixed (genus-independent) tensor power of the Hodge bundle over each moduli space $\mathcal{M}_g$. Precisely:

$$(1.1) \quad DET_n = DET_0^{\otimes (6n^2 - 6n + 1)}$$

The isomorphism may be considered as an equivariant isomorphism of MC_g equivariant line bundles over $\mathcal{T}_g$. The Mumford isomorphism is unique up to a non-zero multiplicative constant, and can be chosen to be an isometry with respect to the Quillen metrics mentioned above.

There is a very interesting connection, discovered by Belavin and Knizhnik [BK], between the Mumford isomorphism above for the case $n = 2$, (namely that DET_2 is the 13-th tensor power of the Hodge bundle), and the existence of the Polyakov string measure on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_g$. For an exposition of this connection see, for instance, [N2]. That suggests the natural question of finding a *genus-independent* formulation of the Mumford isomorphisms over some “universal” parameter space of Riemann surfaces (of varying genus).

Our joint paper with Dennis Sullivan gives such a genus-independent, universal version of the determinant bundles and Mumford’s isomorphism by working over the universal commensurability Teichmüller space. The geometrical objects in [BNS] exist over this universal base space $\mathcal{T}_\infty = \mathcal{T}_\infty(X)$, which is defined as the infinite direct limit of the Teichmüller spaces of higher genus pointed surfaces that are finite unbranched coverings of any pointed reference surface X . The bundles and the relating isomorphisms are equivariant with respect to the natural action of a large new mapping class group, called the universal commensurability group MC_∞ – which we introduced in [BNS]. Our method there was to utilize a subtle form of the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem in a formulation of Deligne, [D], which depended on a certain construction of Deligne known as the “Deligne pairing”.

The main purpose of the present paper is to obtain a genus-independent description of the Mumford isomorphisms over *inductive limits of moduli spaces* $\mathcal{M}_g$ by looking at the inverse system of finite unbranched *characteristic* coverings of any reference surface X . The characteristic covers are shown to form a cofinal tower (in the tower of all finite unbranched coverings of X), and the construction proceeds over the direct limit of *moduli spaces* (rather than at the Teichmüller level). We consequently obtain certain “rational line bundles” over the direct limit, $\mathcal{M}_\infty(X)$, of moduli spaces, with their relating Mumford isomorphisms. We investigate the relationship between $\mathcal{M}_\infty(X)$ and $\mathcal{T}_\infty$ by considering the subgroup of the universal commensurability modular group that acts on $\mathcal{T}_\infty$ to produce $\mathcal{M}_\infty(X)$ as the quotient. The representation of the commensurability modular group as a subgroup of the group of quasisymmetric homeomorphisms of the circle, and the relation with the classical Teichmüller theory of the Ahlfors-Bers universal Teichmüller space, are also explained here. We present

the material in a more leisurely fashion than in [BNS], highlighting also some salient questions that remain unresolved.

Another purpose of this article is to show that one can use the Weil-Petersson Kähler geometry of the Teichmüller spaces to obtain the desired genus-independent construction of *DET* bundles and Mumford isomorphisms in some special but interesting cases, instead of the more sophisticated GRR theorem invoked in [BNS], where we worked in a very general set-up. The Weil-Petersson form comes into play because it represents (up to scaling factors) the curvature form for each of the DET_n bundles (when these bundles are equipped with their Poincaré-Quillen metrics). The formulation of our final results turns out to be somewhat different from the theorem we presented in [BNS].

The parameter spaces obtained by passing to the direct limit of the Teichmüller or moduli spaces over varying genus, can be interpreted as a certain space of (“transversely locally constant”) complex structures on the corresponding *solenoidal surface* arising by taking the inverse limit (through the tower of coverings) of the classical compact surfaces. There is an interplay between the topological type of the solenoidal inverse limit and the type of the associated direct limit moduli space, which also appears in the work presented in this paper.

Acknowledgement: We would like to thank Dennis Sullivan for many discussions, and for suggesting to us the idea of utilizing characteristic coverings.

II. THE UNIVERSAL DIRECT LIMIT $\mathcal{T}_\infty$

II.1. Coverings and the Teichmüller functor: We start with a fundamental topological situation. Let

$$(2.1) \quad \pi : \tilde{X} \longrightarrow X$$

be an *unramified finite covering*, orientation preserving, between two compact connected oriented two manifolds $\tilde{X}$ and X of genera $\tilde{g}$ and g , respectively. Assume $g \geq 2$. The degree of the covering π , which will play an important role, is the ratio of the respective Euler characteristics; namely, $\deg(\pi) = (\tilde{g} - 1)/(g - 1)$.

The Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_g$ (resp. $\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{g}}$) is the quotient of all complex structure on X (resp. $\tilde{X}$) by the group of all orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of X (resp. $\tilde{X}$) which are homotopic to the identity map – this group will be denoted by $\text{Diff}_0^+(X)$ (resp. $\text{Diff}_0^+(\tilde{X})$). Given any complex structure on X , we may pull back this structure via π to get a complex structure on $\tilde{X}$. The homotopy lifting property guarantees that there is a diffeomorphism $\tilde{f} \in \text{Diff}_0(\tilde{X})$ which is a lift of any given $f \in \text{Diff}_0(X)$. It follows that the process of pulling back complex structure from X onto $\tilde{X}$ induces a well-defined map at the level of the Teichmüller spaces:

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{T}(\pi) : \mathcal{T}_g \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{g}}$$

It is known that this map $\mathcal{T}(\pi)$ is a *proper holomorphic embedding* between these finite dimensional complex manifolds; furthermore, the map $\mathcal{T}(\pi)$ respects the quasiconformal-distortion (=Teichmüller) metrics.

Two coverings are said to be in the same homotopy class if they are homotopic through continuous mappings. When working with pointed surfaces and base-point preserving coverings, we shall say that two coverings are in the same based homotopy class if they are homotopic through a base-point preserving family of continuous mappings. It is easy to see that the above embedding between the Teichmüller spaces depends only on the unbased homotopy class of the covering π . (The pullback of a given complex structure on X to $\tilde{X}$, using a covering π , depends of course on the map itself, and not just on its homotopy class. But this dependence disappears when passing to the level of the corresponding Teichmüller spaces.)

At the level of Fuchsian groups, one should note that the covering space π corresponds to the choice of a subgroup H of finite index ($=\deg(\pi)$) in the uniformizing group G for X , and the embedding (2.2) is then the standard inclusion mapping $\mathcal{T}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(H)$; (see Chapter 2, [N1]).

Remark 2.3: One notices that the morphisms of the type $\mathcal{T}(\pi)$ in (2.2) constitute a contravariant functor from the category whose objects are closed oriented topological surfaces and the morphisms being the covering maps, to the category of finite dimensional complex manifolds and the holomorphic embeddings. This functor will be denoted by $\mathcal{T}$. We shall have more to say along these lines below.

We construct a category $\mathcal{A}$ of certain topological objects and morphisms: the objects, $Ob(\mathcal{A})$, constitute a set of compact oriented topological surfaces each equipped with a base point $(\star)$, there being exactly one surface of each genus $g \geq 0$; let the object of genus g be denoted by X_g . The morphisms are based homotopy classes of pointed covering mappings

$$\pi : (X_{\tilde{g}}, \star) \longrightarrow (X_g, \star)$$

there being one arrow for each such based homotopy class. An important point to note is that the monomorphism of fundamental groups induced by any representative of the based homotopy class of coverings π is unambiguously defined.

II.2. The direct system of classical Teichmüller spaces: Fix a genus g and let $X = X_g$. Observe that all the morphisms with the fixed target X_g :

$$(2.4) \quad K_g = K(X) = \{\alpha \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{A}) : \text{Range}(\alpha) = X\}$$

constitute a *directed set* under the partial ordering given by factorization of covering maps. Thus if α and β are two morphisms in the above set, then $\beta \succ \alpha$ if and only if the image of the monomorphism $\pi_1(\beta)$ is contained within the image of $\pi_1(\alpha)$. This

happens if and only if there is a commuting triangle of morphisms: $\beta = \alpha \circ \theta$. It is important to note that the factoring morphism θ is *uniquely* determined because we are working with surfaces with base points.

Remark: Notice that the object of genus 1 in $\mathcal{A}$ only has morphisms to itself – so that this object together with all its morphisms (to and from) form a subcategory.

As shown in (2.2), each morphism of $\mathcal{A}$ induces a proper, holomorphic, Teichmüller-metric preserving embedding between the corresponding finite-dimensional Teichmüller spaces. We can thus create the natural *direct system of Teichmüller spaces* over the above directed set K_g , by associating to each $\alpha \in K_g$ the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}(X_{g(\alpha)})$, where $X_{g(\alpha)} \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{A})$ denotes the domain surface for the covering α . To each $\beta \succ \alpha$ one associates the corresponding holomorphic embedding $\mathcal{T}(\theta)$ (with θ as above). From this direct system we form the *direct limit Teichmüller space over $X = X_g$* :

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{T}_\infty(X_g) = \mathcal{T}_\infty(X) := \text{ind.lim.} \mathcal{T}(X_{g(\alpha)})$$

This limit $\mathcal{T}_\infty(X)$ is an “ind-space” in the sense of Shafarevich [Sha]. In other words, it is an inductive limit of finite dimensional spaces. It is a metric space with a well-defined Teichmüller metric. Indeed, $\mathcal{T}_\infty(X)$ also carries a natural Weil-Petersson Riemannian structure obtained from scaling the Weil-Petersson pairing on each finite dimensional stratum, $\mathcal{T}_h$, by the factor $(h-1)^{-1}$. In fact, compare Theorem 9.1 of [NS] (asserting the existence of Weil-Petersson structure on the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}(H_\infty(X))$) with the crucial Lemma 5.1 below.

The space $\mathcal{T}_\infty$ is called the *universal commensurability Teichmüller space*: it is an universal parameter space for compact Riemann surfaces. $\mathcal{T}_\infty$ serves as the base space for our construction of universal Mumford isomorphisms in [BNS].

II.3. The Teichmüller space, $\mathcal{T}(H_\infty)$, of the hyperbolic solenoid: Over the very same directed set K_g in (2.4), we may also define a natural *inverse system of surfaces*. This is done by associating to each $\alpha \in K_g$ a certain copy, S_α of the pointed surface $X_{g(\alpha)}$. [Note: Fix a universal covering over of $X = X_g$. The surface S_α can be taken to be this universal covering quotiented by the action of the subgroup $\text{Im}(\pi_1(\alpha)) \subset \pi_1(X, \star)$ using the action of the deck transformations.] If $g \geq 2$, then the inverse limit of this system is the *universal solenoidal surface* $H_\infty(X) = \text{inv lim } X_{g(\alpha)}$, that was studied in [S],[NS].

The “universality” of this object resides in the evident but important fact that these spaces $H_\infty(X)$, as well as their Teichmüller spaces $\mathcal{T}(H_\infty(X))$, do *not* really depend on the choice of the base surface X . If we were to start with a surface X' of different genus (both genera being greater than one), we could pass to a common covering surface of X and X' (always available!), and hence the limit spaces we construct would be naturally isomorphic. We are therefore justified in suppressing X in our notation and referring to $H_\infty(X)$ as simply H_∞ .

The space H_∞ is compact. For each surface X (of genus greater than one) there is a natural fibration

$$\pi_\infty(X) : H_\infty \rightarrow X,$$

the fibers being Cantor sets. The path components of H_∞ are called “leaves”. Each leaf, with the “leaf-topology” it inherits from H_∞ , is a simply connected two-manifold, and the restriction of $\pi_\infty(X)$ to any leaf is a universal covering of X . There are uncountably many leaves in H_∞ , and each is a dense subset of H_∞ . Each leaf is thus identifiable with a hyperbolic plane. That is why we call H_∞ the universal hyperbolic solenoid. The facts above follow from a careful study of this inverse system of surfaces, the main tool being the lifting of paths in X to its coverings.

As explained in [S],[NS], the solenoid H_∞ has a natural Teichmüller space comprising equivalence classes of complex structures on the leaves – the leaf complex structures being required to vary continuously in the fiber (Cantor) directions. In particular, any complex structure assigned to any of the surfaces $X_{g(\alpha)}$ appearing in the inverse tower can be pulled back to all the surfaces above it – and therefore assigns a complex structure of the sort demanded on H_∞ itself. These complex structures that arise from some finite stage can be characterized as the “transversely locally constant” (TLC) ones (see [NS]), and they comprise precisely the *dense* subset $\mathcal{T}_\infty(X)$ sitting within the separable Banach manifold $\mathcal{T}(H_\infty(X))$. We collect the above discussions in the:

Proposition 2.6 [BNS]: *The ind-space $\mathcal{T}_\infty(X)$ arises as an inductive limit of finite dimensional complex manifolds, and hence carries a complex structure defined strata-wise. The completion of $\mathcal{T}_\infty(X)$ with respect to the Teichmüller metric is the separable complex Banach manifold $\mathcal{T}(H_\infty(X))$.*

In fact, $\mathcal{T}_\infty(X)$ can be embedded in Bers’ universal Teichmüller space, $\mathcal{T}(\Delta)$, (Δ denotes the unit disc), as a directed union of the Teichmüller spaces of a family of Fuchsian groups. The Fuchsian groups vary over the finite index subgroups of a fixed cocompact Fuchsian group G , $X = \Delta/G$. The closure in $\mathcal{T}(\Delta)$ of $\mathcal{T}_\infty(X)$ is a Bers-embedded copy of $\mathcal{T}(H_\infty(X))$.

II.4. The commensurability mapping class group MC_∞ : We proceed to recall in some detail a construction introduced in [BNS]. A remarkable fact about the situation above is that every morphism $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ of $\mathcal{A}$ induces a natural Teichmüller metric preserving *homeomorphism*

$$\mathcal{T}_\infty(\pi) : \mathcal{T}_\infty(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_\infty(X)$$

The map $\mathcal{T}_\infty(\pi)$ is invertible simply because the morphisms of $\mathcal{A}$ with target Y are cofinal with those having target X (thus all finite ambiguities are forgotten in passing to the inductive limits!). It is also clear that $\mathcal{T}_\infty(\pi)$ is a biholomorphic identification (with respect to the strata-wise complex structures). Recall the functor $\mathcal{T}$ defined in

Remark 2.3. We may similarly define a functor using the morphisms $\mathcal{T}_\infty(\pi)$, which will be denoted by $\mathcal{T}_\infty$. Note that the functor $\mathcal{T}_\infty$ is covariant – whereas the Teichmüller functor $\mathcal{T}$ itself was contravariant.

For a given pair of coverings (not necessarily homotopic)

$$(2.7) \quad \alpha : Y \longrightarrow X \quad \text{and} \quad \beta : Y \longrightarrow X$$

we have an automorphism

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{T}_\infty(\beta) \circ \mathcal{T}_\infty(\alpha)^{-1}$$

of $\mathcal{T}_\infty(X)$. This automorphism preserves the metric on $\mathcal{T}_\infty(X)$ and hence it extends to the metric completion of it.

We will call a pair of the form (2.7) a finite *self correspondence* of X .

More generally, assume that we are given a cycle of coverings starting and ending at X :

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Y_k & \text{---} & Y_{k+1} \\ | & & | \\ Y_{k-1} & & Y_{k+2} \\ | & & | \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ Y_1 & & Y_n \\ | & & | \\ X & = & X \end{array}$$

where X, Y_i are all objects of the category $\mathcal{A}$ and all horizontal and vertical lines represent morphisms (pointing in arbitrary directions) of $\mathcal{A}$. Using the automorphism in (2.5) for each covering in the diagram, and applying it to all the coverings in (2.9), we get an automorphism of $\mathcal{T}_\infty(X)$ just as in (2.8). Note that since $\mathcal{T}_\infty(\pi)$ in (2.5) is invertible, the horizontal and the vertical lines in (2.9) are allowed to be maps in any direction. For example, if some of the maps $Y_i \text{---} Y_{i-1}$ point upwards and some downwards, or left/right, in any such instance the construction of the automorphism of $\mathcal{T}_\infty(X)$ (obtained by following the entire cycle around) remains valid.

Thus we see that each $\mathcal{T}_\infty(X)$, and consequently also its metric completion $\mathcal{T}(H_\infty(X))$, is equipped with a large *automorphism group* – one from each such undirected cycle of morphisms of $\mathcal{A}$ starting from X and returning to X . By repeatedly using pull-back diagrams (i.e., by choosing the appropriate connected component of the fiber product of covering maps), it is fairly easy to see that the automorphism of $\mathcal{T}_\infty(X)$ arising from any (many arrows) cycle can be obtained simply from a self-correspondence, i.e., a two-arrow cycle.

These self-maps constitute a group of biholomorphic automorphisms of $\mathcal{T}_\infty(X)$ that we shall call the *universal commensurability modular group* $MC_\infty(X)$, acting on $\mathcal{T}_\infty(X)$ and on $\mathcal{T}(H_\infty(X))$. We shall show below (Proposition 2.17) how $MC_\infty(X)$ may be realized as a subgroup of the classical universal modular group.

To clarify matters further, we consider the abstract graph (1-complex), $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$, obtained from the topological category $\mathcal{A}$ by looking at the objects as vertices and the (undirected) arrows as edges. It is clear from the definition above that the fundamental group of this graph, viz. $\pi_1(\Gamma(\mathcal{A}), X)$, is acting on $\mathcal{T}_\infty(X)$ as these automorphisms. We may fill in all triangular 2-cells in this abstract graph whenever two morphisms (edges) compose to give a third edge; the thereby-reduced fundamental group of this 2-complex can be shown to produce faithfully the action of $MC_\infty(X)$ on $\mathcal{T}_\infty(X)$.

Remark on the genus one subcategory: For the genus one object X_1 in $\mathcal{A}$, we can make the entire business explicit. We know that the Teichmüller space for any unramified covering is a copy of the upper half-plane H . The maps $\mathcal{T}(\pi)$ are Möbius identifications of copies of the half-plane with itself, and we easily see that the pair $(\mathcal{T}_\infty(X_1), MC_\infty(X_1))$ is identifiable as $(H, PGL(2, \mathbb{Q}))$. Notice that the action has dense orbits in this case. Anticipating for a moment the definition of the virtual automorphism group, Vaut , given in II.5 below, we remark that $GL(2, \mathbb{Q})$ is indeed $\text{Vaut}(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z})$, and Vaut^+ is the subgroup of index 2 therein, as expected.

In the general case, if $X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{A})$ is of any genus $g \geq 2$, then we get an infinite dimensional “ind-space” as $\mathcal{T}_\infty(X)$ with the action of $MC_\infty(X)$ on it as described. Since the tower of coverings over X and Y (both of genus higher than 1) eventually become cofinal, it is clear that *for any choice of genus higher than one we get one isomorphism class of pairs* $(\mathcal{T}_\infty, MC_\infty)$.

II.5. Virtual automorphism group of $\pi_1(X)$ and MC_∞ : In the classical situation, the action of the mapping class group $MC(X)$ on $\mathcal{T}(X)$ was induced by the action of (homotopy classes of) self-homeomorphisms of X ; in the direct limit set up we now have the more general (homotopy classes of) self-correspondences of X inducing the new mapping class automorphisms on $\mathcal{T}_\infty(X)$. In fact, we will see that our group MC_∞ corresponds to “virtual automorphisms” of the fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$, – generalizing exactly the classical situation where the usual $\text{Aut}(\pi_1(X))$ appears as the action via modular automorphisms on $\mathcal{T}(X)$.

Given any group G , one may look at its “partial” or “virtual” automorphisms, [Ma]; as opposed to usual automorphisms which are defined on all of G , for virtual automorphisms we demand only that they be defined on some finite index subgroup. To be precise, consider all isomorphisms $\rho : H \longrightarrow K$ where H and K are subgroups of finite index in G . Two such isomorphisms (say ρ_1 and ρ_2) are considered equivalent if there is a finite index subgroup (sitting in the intersection of the two domain groups) on which they coincide. The equivalence class $[\rho]$ – which is like the *germ* of the iso-

morphism ρ – is called a *virtual automorphism* of G ; clearly the virtual automorphisms of G constitute a group, christened $\text{Vaut}(G)$, under the obvious law of composition, (i.e., compose after passing to deeper finite index subgroups, if necessary).

Clearly $\text{Vaut}(G)$ is trivial unless G is infinite (though there do exist infinite groups – see [MT] – such that Vaut is trivial). Also evident is the fact that

$$\text{Vaut}(G) = \text{Vaut}(H)$$

where H is a finite index subgroup of G . Since we shall apply this concept of virtual automorphism to the fundamental group of a surface of genus g , ($g > 1$), the last remark shows that our $\text{Vaut}(\pi_1(X_g))$ is *genus independent*!

In fact, Vaut presents us a neat way of formalizing the “two-arrow cycles” (2.7) which we introduced to represent elements of MC_∞ . Letting $G = \pi_1(X)$, (recall that X is already equipped with a base point), we see that the diagram (2.7) corresponds exactly to the following virtual automorphism of G :

$$[\rho] = [\beta_* \circ \alpha_*^{-1} : \alpha_*(\pi_1(\tilde{X})) \longrightarrow \beta_*(\pi_1(\tilde{X}))]$$

Here α_* denotes the monomorphism of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\tilde{X})$ into $\pi_1(X) = G$ induced by α , and similarly β_* etc.. We let $\text{Vaut}^+(\pi_1(X))$ denote the subgroup of Vaut arising from pairs of *orientation preserving* coverings. (We shall ignore the difference between $\text{Vaut}(\pi_1(X))$ and $\text{Vaut}^+(\pi_1(X))$ below – when speaking of Vaut we shall mean the Vaut^+ .)

Remark: The reduction of any many-arrow cycle in $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ to a two-arrow cycle utilizes successive fiber product diagrams; there is some amount of choice in this reduction process, and one may obtain different two-arrow cycles starting from the same cycle; however, one may verify that the virtual automorphism that is defined via any reduction is unambiguous.

The final upshot is:

Proposition 2.10: (a) $\text{Vaut}^+(\pi_1(X))$ is naturally isomorphic to $MC_\infty(X)$.

(b) The natural homomorphism: $\pi_1(\Gamma(\mathcal{A})_{\text{fill}}, X) \rightarrow \text{Vaut}^+(\pi_1(X))$ is an isomorphism. Here $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})_{\text{fill}}$ denotes the 2-complex obtained from the graph $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ by filling in all commuting triangles in $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$.

Summarizing remark: So, interestingly enough, the usual $\text{Aut}(\pi_1(\tilde{X}))$ acts as the standard modular action on each of the classical Teichmüller spaces, $\mathcal{T}(\tilde{X})$, which constitute the various finite dimensional strata in $\mathcal{T}_\infty(X)$ (associated to surfaces of varying genus), – whereas the direct limit Teichmüller space is acted upon by this (genus-independent) new modular group $\text{Vaut}(\pi_1(X)) = \text{Vaut}(\pi_1(\tilde{X}))$.

II.6. Representation of $\text{Vaut}(\pi_1(X))$ within $\text{Homeo}(S^1)$: $\text{Vaut}(\pi_1(X))$ allows certain natural representations in the homeomorphism group of the unit circle S^1 , by

the standard theory of *boundary homeomorphisms* (see, for example, Chapter 2, [N1]). In fact, we get one such representation for each choice of cocompact Fuchsian group Γ faithfully representing $\pi_1(X)$. We take the base point on X to be the image of the origin of the unit disc under the universal covering projection $u : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta/\Gamma \equiv X$.

Thus let $[\rho] \in \text{Vaut}(\Gamma)$ be represented by the isomorphism $\rho : H \rightarrow K$. Then the Fuchsian subgroups H and K represent, respectively, the (pointed) Riemann surfaces $Y = \Delta/H$ and $Z = \Delta/K$ covering X . The base points on Y and Z are, of course, the respective images of the origin of Δ . The given isomorphism $\rho : \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \pi_1(Z)$ can now be realized (using Nielsen's theorem) by an orientation preserving diffeomorphism (quasiconformal homeomorphism is enough for our purposes) $h_\rho : Y \rightarrow Z$, preserving base points, satisfying:

$$\pi_1(h_\rho) = \rho : H \longrightarrow K$$

The based homotopy class of h_ρ is uniquely determined. We *lift* h_ρ to the universal covering to get a self-diffeomorphism Σ_ρ of Δ preserving the origin.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta & \xrightarrow{\Sigma_\rho} & \Delta \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y & \xrightarrow{h_\rho} & Z \end{array}$$

The basic equation relating Σ_ρ to ρ is:

$$(2.11) \quad \Sigma_\rho \circ h \circ \Sigma_\rho^{-1} = \rho(h), \quad \text{for all } h \in H.$$

Now associate to $[\rho] \in \text{Vaut}(\Gamma)$ the boundary values of this lift of h_ρ to obtain the desired representation:

$$(2.12) \quad \Sigma : \text{Vaut}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow \text{Homeo}_{\text{q.s.}}(S^1); \quad \Sigma([\rho]) = \partial\Sigma_\rho := \Sigma_\rho|_{\partial\Delta}$$

Since we are dealing with compact surfaces, any diffeomorphism is quasiconformal – hence so is the lift Σ_ρ . The boundary homeomorphism $\partial\Sigma_\rho$ therefore exists by continuous extension, and is a quasisymmetric homeomorphism on $S^1 = \partial\Delta$. That boundary homeomorphism depends only on the homotopy class of h_ρ for well-known reasons – see, for example, pp. 114ff of Chapter 2 of [N1].

Consequently, (2.12) can be seen to be well-defined on equivalence classes $[\rho]$, and it is not hard to check that indeed Σ gives us a *faithful* representation of $\text{Vaut}(\Gamma)$ within $\text{Homeo}_{\text{q.s.}}(S^1)$.

A simple description of the boundary homeomorphism: Given the virtual automorphism $\rho : H \rightarrow K$, consider the natural map it defines of the orbit of the origin ($=0$) under H to the orbit of 0 under K . Namely:

$$\sigma_\rho : H(0) \longrightarrow K(0); \quad h(0) \longmapsto \rho(h)(0)$$

But each orbit under these cocompact Fuchsian groups H and K accumulates everywhere on the boundary S^1 ; it follows that the map σ_ρ extends by continuity to define a homeomorphism of S^1 . That homeomorphism is precisely $\partial\Sigma_\rho$.

It is now clear that the representation Σ embeds $\text{Vaut}(\pi_1(X))$ in $\text{Homeo}_{q.s.}(S^1)$ as exactly the *virtual normalizer of Γ amongst quasimetric homeomorphisms*. By this we mean that the image by Σ of $\text{Vaut}(\pi_1(X))$ is described as:

$$(2.13) \quad \text{Vnorm}_{q.s.}(\Gamma) = \{f \in \text{Homeo}_{q.s.}(S^1) : f \text{ conjugates some finite index subgroup of } \Gamma \text{ to another such subgroup of } \Gamma\}$$

II.7. MC_∞ as a subgroup of the universal modular group: The representation of $\text{Vaut}(\pi_1(X))$ above allows us to consider the action of MC_∞ on $\mathcal{T}_\infty$ via the usual type of right translations by quasimetric homeomorphisms, as is standard for the classical action of the universal modular group on the universal Teichmüller space.

Recall that the universal Teichmüller space of Ahlfors-Bers is the homogeneous space of right cosets (i.e., $\text{Mobius}(S^1)$ acts by post composition):

$$(2.14) \quad \mathcal{T}(1) := \text{Homeo}_{q.s.}(S^1)/\text{Mobius}(S^1)$$

The coset of $\phi \in \text{Homeo}_{q.s.}(S^1)$ is denoted by $[\phi]$.

Naturally, $\text{Homeo}_{q.s.}(S^1)$ acts as biholomorphic automorphisms of this complex Banach manifold, $\mathcal{T}(1)$, by right translation (i.e., by pre-composition by f). In other words, each $f \in \text{Homeo}_{q.s.}(S^1)$ induces the automorphism:

$$(2.15) \quad f_* : \mathcal{T}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(1); \quad f_*([\phi]) = [\phi \circ f]$$

and this action on $\mathcal{T}(1)$ is classically called the universal modular group action (see [N1]).

But having fixed the Fuchsian group Γ as above, we see forthwith from Proposition 2.6 (in II.3) that a copy of the universal commensurability Teichmüller space, $\mathcal{T}_\infty$, embeds in $\mathcal{T}(1)$ as follows:

$$(2.16) \quad \mathcal{T}_\infty \cong \mathcal{T}_\infty(\Gamma) = \{[\phi] \in \mathcal{T}(1) : \phi \in \text{Homeo}_{q.s.}(S^1) \text{ is compatible}$$

with some finite index subgroup of $\Gamma\}$

where the compatibility condition means that there exists some finite index subgroup $H \subset \Gamma$ such that $\phi H \phi^{-1} \subset \text{Mobius}(S^1)$.

Proposition 2.17: *The action of MC_∞ on $\mathcal{T}_\infty$ coincides with the action, by right translations, of the subgroup of the universal modular group corresponding to $\text{Vnorm}_{q.s.}(\Gamma) \subset \text{Homeo}_{q.s.}(S^1)$, restricted to $\mathcal{T}_\infty(\Gamma) \subset \mathcal{T}(1)$.*

Proof: By tracing through all the identifications, one finally needs to verify that for any $f \in \text{Vnorm}_{q.s.}(\Gamma)$, the universal modular transformation f_* preserves the directed union $\mathcal{T}_\infty(\Gamma)$. It is not difficult to verify from the definition of the virtual normalizer that f_* carries each finite dimensional stratum in $\mathcal{T}_\infty(\Gamma)$ to another such stratum, and the Proposition follows. $\square$

II.8. Topological transitivity of MC_∞ on $\mathcal{T}_\infty$ and allied issues: Does MC_∞ act with dense orbits in $\mathcal{T}_\infty$? That is a basic query. This question is directly seen to be equivalent to the following old conjecture which, we understand, is due to L.Ehrenpreis and C.L.Siegel:

Conjecture 2.18: *Given any two compact Riemann surfaces, X_1 (of genus $g_1 \geq 2$) and X_2 (of genus $g_2 \geq 2$), and given any $\epsilon > 0$, can one find finite unbranched coverings π_1 and π_2 (respectively) of the two surfaces such that the corresponding covering Riemann surfaces $\tilde{X}_1$ and $\tilde{X}_2$ are of the same genus and there exists a $(1 + \epsilon)$ quasiconformal homeomorphism between them. (Namely, $\tilde{X}_1$ and $\tilde{X}_2$ come ϵ -close in the Teichmüller metric.)*

Remark: Since the uniformization theorem guarantees that the *universal* coverings of X_1 and X_2 are *exactly* conformally equivalent, the conjecture asks whether we can obtain high *finite* coverings that are *approximately* conformally equivalent.

III: THE CHARACTERISTIC TOWER AND $\mathcal{M}_\infty(X)$

III.1. The cofinal set of characteristic covers: The unramified finite covering $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is called *characteristic* if it corresponds to a *characteristic subgroup* of the fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$. Namely, $\pi_1(\tilde{X})$ (as a subgroup of $\pi_1(X)$) must be left invariant by every element of $\text{Aut}(\pi_1(X))$; this yields therefore (by restriction to the subgroup) a homomorphism:

$$(3.1) \quad L_\pi : \text{Aut}(\pi_1(X)) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi_1(\tilde{X}))$$

Topologically speaking, every diffeomorphism of X lifts to a diffeomorphism of $\tilde{X}$, and the homomorphism (3.1) corresponds to this lifting process.

Characteristic subgroups are necessarily normal subgroups. It is well-known that the normal subgroups of finite index form a cofinal family among all subgroups of finite index in $\Gamma = \pi_1(X)$. We now show the critically important fact that the property continues to hold for characteristic subgroups. (Note: All coverings being considered are *finite* and *unramified*.)

Lemma 3.2. *The family of finite index characteristic subgroups, as a directed set partially ordered by inclusion, is cofinal in the poset of all finite index subgroups of $\pi_1(X)$. In fact, given any finite covering $f : Y \rightarrow X$, there exists another finite covering $h : Z \rightarrow Y$ such that the composition $f \circ h : Z \rightarrow X$ is a characteristic cover.*

Proof: For notational convenience set $G := \pi_1(X)$ and $H := \pi_1(Y)$, (we will suppress the base points). Using the monomorphism $\pi_1(f)$, the group H will be thought of as a subgroup of G .

Consider the space of right cosets $S := G/H$, which is a finite set. The group G has a natural action on S given by the left multiplication in G . So $g \in G$ maps the coset $\{a\} \in S$ to the coset $\{ga\}$. Let $P(S)$ denote the finite group of permutations of the set S . Let $\rho : G \rightarrow P(S)$ denote the homomorphism defined by the G -action.

Let $\Gamma = \text{Hom}(G, P(S))$ denote the set of homomorphisms of G into $P(S)$. Since G is a finitely generated group and $P(S)$ is a finite group, Γ is a finite set.

Define

$$K = \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \text{kernel}(\gamma) \subset G$$

to be the subgroup of G given by the intersection of all the kernels. Since Γ is a finite set, K is a finite index subgroup of G . Clearly K is a characteristic subgroup of G . If we show that K is actually contained in H then the proof of the lemma will be complete by taking h to the covering (of Y) given by the subgroup $K \subset H$.

To prove that $K \subset H$, take any $g \in G$ which is not in H , we will show that g is not in K . Consider the action of g on $\{H\}$, the identity coset in S . It is mapped to the $\{g\} \in P(S)$, the coset given by g . Since $g \notin H$, the coset $\{g\}$ cannot be the coset $\{H\}$. In other words, the action of g on $P(S)$ is not the trivial action. So g cannot be in K , since $\rho(K) = e$. This completes the proof of the Lemma. $\square$

Alternate proof: By an argument similar to that used above, we see that up to isomorphism there are only finitely many Galois coverings of any fixed degree N over a surface X of genus g .

These finitely many normal subgroups of index N , sitting within $\pi_1(X)$, are necessarily permuted amongst themselves by the action of $\text{Aut}(\pi_1(X))$. Taking the intersection of the subgroups that constitute an orbit under the action of $\text{Aut}(\pi_1(X))$ therefore produces a characteristic subgroup of finite index.

As for cofinality, note that any finite index subgroup of any group G contains within it a subgroup that is normal in G and is still of finite index. Letting N be the index (in $G = \pi_1(X)$) of this normal subgroup, and applying the above construction, we obtain characteristic subgroups of finite index sitting within any given subgroup of finite index. $\square$

Example: Here is a straightforward family of examples for finite characteristic coverings of surfaces. Let $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ be the Hurwitz (abelianization) map. Compose this with the projection $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}/n)$, where n is any integer greater than one. The kernel of this composition $[\pi_1(X) \rightarrow H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}/n)]$ provides a characteristic subgroup of finite index in $\pi_1(X)$. The quotient group, namely the deck transformation group of this characteristic covering, is the finite abelian group $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}/n)$.

Remarks on fiber-products of coverings: Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ and $g : Z \rightarrow X$ be any two pointed coverings of X . Let S be the connected component of the fiber product

$$S \subset Y \times_X Z$$

containing the distinguished point. Let μ denote the projection of S onto X . Then the subgroup of $\pi_1(X)$ corresponding to the covering μ is simply the *intersection* of the two subgroups corresponding to the coverings f and g . Indeed, if H and K are the subgroups corresponding to the two given covers, then their fiber product can be described as the quotient of the universal covering by $H \cap K$.

It follows immediately that *any component of the fiber product of two characteristic coverings over X is also characteristic over X .*

Note, of course, that there are factoring projections of S onto Y and Z – denoted by say ϕ and ψ , respectively. It is not in general true that these factoring maps ϕ and ψ will be characteristic – even when f, g – and hence μ – are so. In the definition below of the ordering in the characteristic tower over X we are therefore forced to demand that the factoring morphism should be *itself characteristic*. (Otherwise we do not get a well-defined inductive system at the moduli spaces level.)

III.2. The characteristic tower: Consider the tower over the (pointed) surface $X = X_g$ consisting of only the *characteristic* coverings. Namely, we replace the directed set (2.4) by the subset:

$$K^{ch}(X) = K_g^{ch} = \{\alpha \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{A}) : \alpha \text{ is characteristic and } \text{Range}(\alpha) = X\}$$

For α, β in $K^{ch}(X)$, we say $\beta \succ \alpha$ if and only if $\beta = \alpha \circ \theta$ with θ being also a *characteristic* covering. This gives $K^{ch}(X)$ the structure of a directed set.

Because of the presence of the homomorphism (3.1), it is evident that any characteristic cover π induces a morphism

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{M}(\pi) : \mathcal{M}_g \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{g}}$$

which is an algebraic morphism between these normal quasi-projective varieties. In other words, the map $\mathcal{T}(\pi)$ of (2.2) *descends* to the moduli space level when the covering π is characteristic.

We therefore have a *direct system of moduli spaces* over the directed set $K^{ch}(X)$, and passing to the direct limit, we define:

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{M}_\infty(X)(X) := \text{ind lim } \mathcal{M}(X_{g(\alpha)}), \quad \alpha \in K^{ch}(X)$$

in exact parallel with the definition of $\mathcal{T}_\infty(X)$ in (2.5). (Recall that $X_{g(\alpha)}$ denotes the domain surface for the covering map α .)

Question: Do any two surfaces (genus g and h , both greater than one) have a common characteristic cover? We have been unable to resolve this question. Equivalently, we may ask, does $\mathcal{M}_\infty(X)(X_g)$ depend on the genus g of the reference surface? Clearly, $\mathcal{M}_\infty(X)(X)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_\infty(X)(Y)$ provided a common characteristic covering exists.

III.3. Mapping-class like elements of $\text{Vaut}(\pi_1(X))$: If $\alpha : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a morphism of our category $\mathcal{A}$, and $\lambda : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ is any self-homeomorphism of $\tilde{X}$, then the two-arrow diagram given by the two coverings α and $\alpha \circ \lambda$ (the self-correspondence) defines an element of $\text{Vaut}(\pi_1(X))$. Such elements of $\text{Vaut}(\pi_1(X))$ we shall call *mapping class like elements* for obvious reasons (namely, they arise from modular transformations at some finite covering stage). These elements are exactly those virtual automorphisms which *fix setwise* some finite index subgroup of $\pi_1(X)$. We do not know whether every element of $\text{Vaut}(\pi_1(X))$ is mapping class like.

Utilizing the homomorphisms L_α of (3.1), we can now define a *direct system of automorphism groups of surfaces* indexed again by $K^{ch}(X)$. In fact, we can set:

$$(3.5) \quad \text{Caut}(\pi_1(X)) = \text{dir.lim. Aut}(\pi_1(X_{g(\alpha)})), \quad \alpha \in K^{ch}(X)$$

A little thought shows that the group $\text{Caut}(\pi_1(X))$ consists of those mapping class like elements which represent automorphisms of finite index *characteristic* subgroups of $\pi_1(X)$.

In analogy with the classical situation where $\mathcal{M}_g$ is described as the quotient of $\mathcal{T}_g$ by the action of the classical mapping class group, we are now able to describe $\mathcal{M}_\infty(X)$ in terms of $\mathcal{T}_\infty(X)$:

Proposition 3.6. *$\text{Caut}(\pi_1(X))$ acts on $\mathcal{T}_\infty(X)$ to produce the ind-variety $\mathcal{M}_\infty(X)$ as the quotient.*

Proof: Consider the direct system of Teichmüller spaces over the cofinal subset $K^{ch}(X)$ and let us call $\mathcal{T}_\infty^{ch}(X)$ the corresponding direct limit space. The inclusion of $K^{ch}(X)$ in $K(X)$ induces a natural homeomorphism of $\mathcal{T}_\infty^{ch}(X)$ onto $\mathcal{T}_\infty(X)$. Clearly, it follows from the definition of the group $\text{Caut}(\pi_1(X))$ that $\text{Caut}(\pi_1(X))$ acts on $\mathcal{T}_\infty^{ch}(X)$ to produce $\mathcal{M}_\infty(X)$ as the quotient. Therefore, identifying $\mathcal{T}_\infty^{ch}(X)$ with $\mathcal{T}_\infty(X)$ by the above homeomorphism, everything follows. $\square$

In the paper [BNS] we created determinant bundles over $\mathcal{T}_\infty(X)$, with the relating Mumford isomorphisms, the entire construction being invariant under the full group $\text{Vaut}(\pi_1(X))$. Therefore, in view of the above Proposition 3.6 it follows immediately that the bundles and isomorphisms constructed in [BNS] descend to $\mathcal{M}_\infty(X)$. That is the purport of our main theorem in this paper, but we shall present the construction independent of the methods in [BNS]; as we said earlier, our tool in the following chapters will be the naturality of the Weil-Petersson Kähler forms on the moduli spaces with respect to the covering maps.

A question: Study the subgroup $\text{Caut}(\pi_1(X))$ in $\text{Vaut}(\pi_1(X))$. Is it a normal subgroup? Is the index infinite?

III.4. $\text{Vaut}(\pi_1(X))$ and the Cantor group $\widehat{\pi_1(X)}$: Consider the algebro-geometric fundamental group of X defined as the profinite completion of the topological fundamental group $\Gamma = \pi_1(X)$. Namely,

$$(3.7) \quad \mathcal{C}\pi_1(X) = \widehat{\pi_1(X)} = \text{inv lim}\{\text{finite quotients of } \pi_1(X)\}$$

limit being taken over all finite index normal subgroups of Γ . This is the inverse limit of the deck transformation groups of all normal (Galois) finite coverings of X . In fact, if we consider the inverse limit solenoid construction $H_\infty(X)$ running through the cofinal family of all finite normal covers over X , we see that the fiber of the fibration $\pi_\infty : H_\infty(X) \rightarrow X$ is precisely this Cantor-set group $\widehat{\pi_1(X)}$. It is not hard to see that there is a natural embedding of $\text{Vaut}(\pi_1(X))$ into the virtual automorphism group of this Cantor group. Regarding this relationship, and concomitant matters, we will have more to say in a forthcoming article [NaSa].

IV: CURVATURE FORMS OF *DET* BUNDLES ON $\mathcal{M}_g$

IV.1. Line bundles on the moduli space: There are several closely related concepts of line bundles associated to the moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces. We will recall the definition of the Picard group $\text{Pic}_{\text{fun}}(\mathcal{M}_g)$ – which is the most basic one from the algebro-geometric standpoint. $\text{Pic}_{\text{fun}}(\mathcal{M}_g)$ denotes *the Picard group of the moduli functor*. An element of $\text{Pic}_{\text{fun}}(\mathcal{M}_g)$ consists in prescribing an algebraic line bundle L_F on the base space S for every algebraic family $F = (\gamma : V \rightarrow S)$ of Riemann surfaces of genus g over any quasi-projective base S . Moreover, for every commutative diagram of families F_1 and F_2 having the morphism α from the base S_1 to S_2 , there must be assigned a corresponding isomorphism between the line bundle L_{F_1} and the pullback via α of the bundle L_{F_2} . For compositions of such pullbacks, these isomorphisms between the prescribed bundles must satisfy the self-evident compatibility condition. Two such prescriptions of line bundles over bases S define the same element of $\text{Pic}_{\text{fun}}(\mathcal{M}_g)$ if there are compatible isomorphisms between the bundles assigned for each S . See [Mum], [HM], [AC] for details. [Note: Mumford has considered this Picard group of the moduli functor also over the Deligne-Mumford compactification of $\mathcal{M}_g$.]

The Hodge line bundle: We introduce this fundamental (generating!) element of $\text{Pic}_{\text{fun}}(\mathcal{M}_g)$. Consider any smooth family of genus g Riemann surfaces, $F := (\gamma : E \rightarrow S)$. The “Hodge bundle” on the parameter space S is defined to be the dual of $\bigwedge^g (R^1\gamma_*\mathcal{O})$. Here the R^1 denote the usual first direct image (see, for example, [H]). Associating to each family F its Hodge line bundle, one obtains an element of $\text{Pic}_{\text{fun}}(\mathcal{M}_g)$, per definition. The fiber of the Hodge line bundle over the point $s \in S$ is the top exterior product $\bigwedge^g H^1(X_s, \mathcal{O})^*$, where X_s denotes the genus g curve $\gamma^{-1}(s)$. By the

Serre duality, this exterior product is canonically isomorphic to $\bigwedge^g H^0(X_s, K)$, where $K = K_{X_s}$ is its cotangent bundle. It is a fundamental fact that $\text{Pic}_{\text{fun}}(\mathcal{M}_g)$ is generated by the Hodge line bundle [AC]. Moreover, for $g \geq 3$, the group $\text{Pic}_{\text{fun}}(\mathcal{M}_g)$ is freely generated by the Hodge bundle. In particular, for $g \geq 3$, we have $\text{Pic}_{\text{fun}}(\mathcal{M}_g) = \mathbb{Z}$. (For $g = 2$, $\text{Pic}_{\text{fun}}(\mathcal{M}_g) = \mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z}$.)

The relation between $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{M}_g)$ and $\text{Pic}_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{M}_g)$ with $\text{Pic}_{\text{fun}}(\mathcal{M}_g)$: Let $DET_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_g$ be the Hodge bundle on the Teichmüller space. There is a natural lift of the action of the modular group MC_g on $\mathcal{T}_g$ to DET_0 . Assume that $g \geq 2$. Since the automorphism group of a Riemann surface of genus at least two is a finite group, there is a positive integer $n(g)$, (for example, $[84(g-1)]!$ works) such that the induced action of any isotropy subgroup for the action of MC_g on $\mathcal{T}_g$, on the fiber of $DET_0^{m.n(g)}$, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, is the trivial action. Consequently, each of the line bundles $DET_0^{m.n(g)}$ descends as an algebraic line bundle on $\mathcal{M}_g$. All algebraic line bundles on $\mathcal{M}_g$ are known to arise this way.

The Picard group of $\mathcal{M}_g$, denoted by $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{M}_g)$, consisting of isomorphism classes of algebraic line bundles on $\mathcal{M}_g$, is a finite index subgroup of $\text{Pic}_{\text{fun}}(\mathcal{M}_g)$ – see [AC]. Any holomorphic line bundle on the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_g$, equipped with a lift of the action of the mapping class group MC_g , such that the action of the isotropy subgroup of any point on the fiber is trivial, must be a power of the Hodge line bundle for the universal family of Riemann surfaces over $\mathcal{T}_g$. Let $\text{Pic}_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{M}_g)$ denote the group of isomorphism classes of holomorphic line bundles $\mathcal{M}_g$. Then from the above remarks it follows that we have (for $g \geq 3$):

$$(4.1) \quad \text{Pic}(\mathcal{M}_g) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = \text{Pic}_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{M}_g) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = \text{Pic}_{\text{fun}}(\mathcal{M}_g) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}$$

DET bundles for families: Given, as before, any Kodaira-Spencer family $F = (\gamma : V \rightarrow S)$, of compact Riemann surfaces of genus g , and a holomorphic vector bundle E over the total space V , we can consider the base S as parametrizing a family of elliptic $\bar{\partial}$ -operators. The operator corresponding to $s \in S$ acts along the fiber Riemann surface $X_s = \gamma^{-1}(s)$:

$$\bar{\partial}_s : C^\infty(\gamma^{-1}(s), E) \longrightarrow C^\infty(\gamma^{-1}(s), E \otimes \Omega_{X_s}^{0,1})$$

One defines the associated vector space of one dimension given by:

$$(4.2) \quad DET(\bar{\partial}_s) = \left(\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \ker \bar{\partial}_s \right) \otimes \left(\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{coker } \bar{\partial}_s \right)^*$$

and it is known that these complex lines fit together naturally over the base space S giving rise to a holomorphic line bundle over S called $DET(\bar{\partial})$. In fact, this entire “determinant of cohomology” construction is natural with respect to morphisms of families and pullbacks of vector bundles. Note that the definition of the determinant line in (4.2) coincides with that given in [D], but is dual to the one in [Bos].

We could have followed the above construction through for the *universal genus g family V_g over $\mathcal{T}_g$* (see [N1]), with the vector bundle E being, variously, the trivial line bundle over the universal curve, or the vertical (relative) tangent bundle, or any of its tensor powers. It is easy to verify the following: setting E to be the trivial line bundle over V for any family $F = (\gamma : V \rightarrow S)$, the above prescription for DET provides merely another description of the Hodge line bundle.

By the same token, setting over any family F the vector bundle E to be the m^{th} tensor power of the vertical cotangent bundle along the fibers, we get by the DET construction a well-defined member

$$(4.3) \quad DET_m = \lambda_m \in \text{Pic}_{\text{fun}}(\mathcal{M}_g), \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Serre duality shows that $DET_m = DET_{1-m}$, in $\text{Pic}_{\text{fun}}(\mathcal{M}_g)$. Clearly, λ_0 is the Hodge bundle, and by “Teichmüller’s lemma” (see [N1]) one notes that λ_2 represents the canonical bundle of the moduli space; indeed, the fiber of DET_2 at any Riemann surface $X \in \mathcal{M}_g$ is the top exterior product of the space of holomorphic quadratic differentials on X .

IV.2. Mumford isomorphisms: By applying the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem it was proved by Mumford in [Mum] that as elements of $\text{Pic}_{\text{fun}}(\mathcal{M}_g)$ one has

$$(4.4) \quad \lambda_m = (6m^2 - 6m + 1)\text{-th tensor power of Hodge } (= \lambda_0)$$

The complement of $\mathcal{M}_g$ in its Satake compactification is of codimension at least two if $g \geq 3$. The Hartogs theorem implies that there are no non-constant holomorphic functions on $\mathcal{M}_g$ ($g \geq 3$). Therefore the choice of an isomorphism of λ_m with $\lambda_0^{\otimes (6m^2 - 6m + 1)}$ is *unique* up to a nonzero scalar. We would like to put canonical hermitian metrics on these DET bundles so that this essentially unique isomorphism actually becomes an unitary isometry. This follows from the theory of the:

IV.3. Quillen metrics on DET bundles: If we prescribe a conformal Riemannian metric on the fiber Riemann surface X_s , and simultaneously a hermitian fiber metric on the vector bundle E_s , then clearly this will induce a natural L^2 pairing on the one dimensional space $DET(\bar{\partial}_s)$ described in (4.2). Even if one takes a smoothly varying family of conformal Riemannian metrics on the fibers of the family, and a smooth hermitian metric on the vector bundle E over V , these L^2 norms on the DET-lines may fail to fit together smoothly (basically because the dimensions of the kernel or cokernel for $\bar{\partial}_s$ can jump as s varies over S). However, Quillen, and later Bismut-Freed and other authors, have described a “Quillen modification” of the L^2 pairing which always produces a smooth Hermitian metric on DET over S , and has important functorial properties.

Remark: Actually, in the cases of our interest the usual Riemann-Roch theorem shows that the dimensions of the kernel and cokernel spaces remain constant as we vary over

moduli – so that the L^2 metric is itself smooth. Nevertheless, the Quillen metric will be crucially utilized by us because of certain functorial properties, and curvature properties, that it enjoys.

Using the metrics assigned on the Riemann surfaces (the fibers of γ), and the metric on E , one gets L^2 structure on the spaces of C^∞ sections that constitute the domain and target for our d-bar operators. Hence $\bar{\partial}_s$ is provided with an adjoint operator $\bar{\partial}_s^*$, and one can therefore construct the positive (Laplacian) elliptic operator as the composition:

$$\Delta_s = \bar{\partial}_s^* \circ \bar{\partial}_s,$$

These Laplacians have a well-defined (zeta-function regularized) determinant, and one sets:

$$(4.5) \quad \text{Quillen norm on fiber of } DET = (L^2 \text{ norm on that fiber}) \cdot (\det \Delta_s)^{-1/2}$$

This turns out to be a smooth metric on the line bundle DET. See [D], [Q], [BF], [BGS].

In the situation of our interest, the vector bundle E is the vertical tangent (or cotangent) line bundle along the fibers of γ , or its powers, so that the assignment of a metric on the Riemann surfaces already suffices to induce a Hermitian metric on E . Hence one gets a Quillen norm on the various DET bundles λ_m ($\in \text{Pic}_{\text{fun}}(\mathcal{M}_g)$) for every choice of a smooth family of conformal metrics on the Riemann surfaces. *The Mumford isomorphisms (over any base S) become isometric isomorphisms with respect to the Quillen metrics.*

Let $T_{\text{vert}} \rightarrow V$ denote the relative tangent bundle, namely the kernel of the differential map of the projection of V onto S . The *curvature form* (i.e., first Chern form) on the base S of the Quillen DET bundles has a particularly elegant expression:

$$(4.6) \quad c_1(DET, \text{Quillen metric}) = \int_{V|S} (Ch(E) Todd(T_{\text{vert}}))$$

where the integration represents integration of differential forms along the fibers of the family $\gamma : V \rightarrow S$ [Bos], [D].

We now come to one of our main tools in this paper. By utilizing the uniformization theorem (with moduli parameters), the universal family of Riemann surfaces over $\mathcal{T}_g$, and hence any holomorphic family F as above, has a natural smoothly varying family of Riemannian metrics on the fibers given by the constant curvature -1 *Poincaré metrics*. The Quillen metrics arising on the DET bundles λ_m from the Poincaré metrics on X_s has the following fundamental property for its curvature:

$$(4.7) \quad c_1(\lambda_m, \text{Quillen}) = \frac{1}{12\pi^2} (6m^2 - 6m + 1) \omega_{WP}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}$$

where ω_{WP} denotes the (1,1) Kähler form on $\mathcal{T}_g$ for the classical *Weil-Petersson* metric of $\mathcal{T}_g$. We remind the reader that the cotangent space to the Teichmüller space at X can be canonically identified with the vector space of holomorphic quadratic differentials on X , and the WP Hermitian pairing is obtained as

$$(4.8) \quad (\phi, \psi)_{WP} = \int_X \phi \bar{\psi} (Poin)^{-1}$$

Here $(Poin)$ denotes the area form on X induced by the Poincaré metric. That the curvature formula (4.6) takes the special form (4.7) for the Poincaré family of metrics has been shown by Wolpert [Wol] and Zograf-Takhtadzhyan [ZT].

Indeed, (4.6) specialized to $E = T_{\text{vert}}^{\otimes -m}$ becomes simply $(6m^2 - 6m + 1)/12$ times $\int_{V|S} c_1(T_{\text{vert}})^2$. This last integral represents, for the Poincaré-metrics family, π^{-2} times the Weil-Petersson symplectic form. See also [BF], [BGS], [BK], [Bos], [Wol], [ZT].

Applying the above machinery, we will investigate the behaviour of the Mumford isomorphisms in the situation of a covering map between surfaces of different genera.

V. CHARACTERISTIC COVERINGS AND DET BUNDLES:

V.1. Comparison of Hodge bundles: Let $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a *characteristic covering* of degree N . Recall from topology that $N = (\tilde{g} - 1)/(g - 1)$, where $\tilde{g}$ and $g(\geq 2)$ are respectively the genera of $\tilde{X}$ and X . Let $\mathcal{M}(\pi) : \mathcal{M}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{g}}$ be the morphism induced by π as in (3.3). We are now in a position to compare the two candidate Hodge bundles that we get over $\mathcal{M}_g$ – one is the pullback of the Hodge bundle from $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{g}}$ using $\mathcal{M}(\pi)$, and the other being the Hodge bundle of $\mathcal{M}_g$ itself. The same comparison will be worked out simultaneously for all the DET_m bundles.

Notations: Let $\lambda = DET_0$ denote, as before, the Hodge bundle on $\mathcal{M}_g$ (a member of $\text{Pic}_{\text{fun}}(\mathcal{M}_g)$, as explained), and let $\tilde{\lambda}$ denote the Hodge line bundle over $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{g}}$. Further, let $\omega = \omega_{WP}$ and $\tilde{\omega}$ represent the Weil-Petersson forms (i.e., the Kähler forms corresponding to the WP Hermitian metrics) on $\mathcal{M}_g$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{g}}$, respectively.

The naturality of Weil-Petersson forms under coverings is manifest in the following basic Lemma:

Lemma 5.1. *The 2-forms $N(\omega)$ and $(\mathcal{M}(\pi))^* \tilde{\omega}$ on $\mathcal{M}_g$ coincide.*

Proof: This is basically a straightforward computation. Recall that the cotangent space to the Teichmüller space is canonically isomorphic to the space of quadratic differentials for the Riemann surface represented by that point. (It is actually sufficient to prove this Lemma at the Teichmüller level.) Now, at any point $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_g$, the co-derivative morphism is a map on cotangent spaces induced by the map $\mathcal{M}(\pi)$:

$$(d\mathcal{M}(\pi))^* : T_{\mathcal{M}(\pi)(\alpha)}^* \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{g}} \longrightarrow T_{\alpha}^* \mathcal{M}_g$$

The action of this map on any quadratic differential ψ on the Riemann surface $\mathcal{M}(\pi)(\alpha)$, (i.e., $\psi \in H^0(\mathcal{M}(\pi)(\alpha), K^2)$), is given by:

$$(5.1) \quad (d\mathcal{M}(\pi))^*\psi = 1/N \left(\sum_{f \in Deck} f^*\psi \right)$$

Here *Deck* denotes, of course, the group of deck transformations for the covering π . Now recall that a covering map π induces a local isometry between the respective Poincaré metrics, and that N copies of X will fit together to constitute $\tilde{X}$. The lemma therefore follows by applying formula (5.1) to two quadratic differentials, and pairing them by the Weil-Petersson pairing as per definition (4.8). $\square$

The above Lemma, combined with the fact that the curvature form of the Hodge bundle is $(12\pi^2)^{-1}$ times ω_{WP} , shows that the *curvature forms (with respect to the Quillen metrics) of the two line bundles $\lambda^{\otimes N}$ and $(\mathcal{M}(\pi))^*\tilde{\lambda}$ coincide*. Do the bundles themselves coincide? Yes:

Theorem 5.2: *Let $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a characteristic covering of degree N . Then the two line-bundles $\lambda^{\otimes N}$ and $(\mathcal{M}(\pi))^*\tilde{\lambda}$, as members of $\text{Pic}_{\text{fun}}(\mathcal{M}_g)$, are equal.*

If $g \geq 3$, then such an isomorphism:

$$F_\pi : \lambda^{\otimes N} \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}(\pi))^*\tilde{\lambda}$$

is uniquely specified up to the choice of a nonzero scaling constant. Up to a constant any such isomorphism must be an unitary isometry between the d -th power of the Quillen metric on λ and the Quillen metric of $\tilde{\lambda}$.

The same assertions hold for each of the bundles DET_m , $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Namely, the bundles $DET_m^{\otimes N}$ and $(\mathcal{M}(\pi))^\widetilde{DET_m}$ are isometrically isomorphic. (By $\widetilde{DET_m}$ we mean, of course, the DET_m construction over $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{g}}$.)*

Proof: The basic principle is that “curvature of the bundle determines the bundle uniquely” over any space whose Picard group is discrete, in particular, therefore over the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_g$. That is automatic since the first Chern class is given by curvature form, and it is known that $c_1 : \text{Pic}_{\text{alg}}(\mathcal{M}_g) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{M}_g, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ is injective.

The uniqueness assertion follows since two isomorphisms can only differ by a global holomorphic function on the base space. But, as explained in Section IV.2, $\mathcal{M}_g$ does not admit any nonconstant global holomorphic functions for $g \geq 3$.

Consider now the pull-back of the Quillen metric on $\tilde{\lambda}$ to λ^N . We know that the curvature form coincides with the curvature of the N -th power of the Quillen metric of λ . Therefore the ratio of these two metrics on λ^N is a positive function f on the base, with $\log(f)$ pluri-harmonic. But there is no obstruction in making $\log(f)$ the real part of a global holomorphic function on $\mathcal{M}_g$ since the first Betti number of $\mathcal{M}_g$ is zero. By the Satake-Hartogs argument then, f must be constant, implying the isometrical nature (up to constant) of any isomorphism, as desired. $\square$

A characteristic covering π of degree N therefore provides a map from $\text{Pic}_{\text{fun}}(\mathcal{M}_g)$ into $\text{Pic}_{\text{fun}}(\mathcal{M}_g)$ that is an embedding of infinite cyclic groups with index equal to the degree N of the covering. Indeed, the above result proves that the Hodge bundle on the smaller moduli space, raised to the tensor power N , extends over the larger moduli space as the Hodge bundle thereon.

Since we will deal with towers of characteristic coverings, we need to make sure that the isomorphisms we pick up are perfectly *compatible* – in order to create, as appropriate, inductive or projective systems of objects by utilizing these isomorphisms.

Therefore let $\nu : Z \rightarrow X$ be a characteristic covering of degree N of a surface X of genus $g(\geq 3)$, and suppose that ν allows a decomposition into a pair of *characteristic* coverings, f_1 and f_2 of orders n_1 and n_2 respectively. Namely, $f_2 \circ f_1 = \nu$ and $N = n_1 n_2$,

$$Z \xrightarrow{f_1} Y \xrightarrow{f_2} X$$

Denote the genera of Y and Z by g_1 and g_2 respectively. Let $\mathcal{M}(f_1) : \mathcal{M}_{g_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g_2}$, $\mathcal{M}(f_2) : \mathcal{M}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g_1}$ and $\mathcal{M}(\nu) = \mathcal{M}(f_2 \circ f_1) : \mathcal{M}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g_2}$ be the induced maps of moduli spaces as in (3.3). We let λ_1 and λ_2 denote the Hodge bundles on $\mathcal{M}_{g_1}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{g_2}$, respectively, and λ the Hodge on $\mathcal{M}_g$. The compatibility we need to establish is that the following diagram *commutes*:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \lambda^{n_1 n_2} & \xrightarrow{id} & \lambda^{n_1 n_2} \\ \downarrow F_{f_2}^{\otimes n_1} & & \downarrow F_\nu \\ \mathcal{M}(f_2)^* \lambda_1^{n_1} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}(f_2)^*(F_{f_1})} & \mathcal{M}(\nu)^* \lambda_2 \end{array}$$

In the diagram above, the morphisms F_ν , F_{f_1} and F_{f_2} are obtained as instances of the map F_π of Theorem 5.2, applied when π is taken in turn to be each of the three coverings under scrutiny.

But Theorem 5.2 asserts that both the mappings F_ν and $(\mathcal{M}(f_2))^*(F_{f_1}) \circ F_{f_2}^{\otimes n_1}$ represent isomorphisms between the bundles λ^N and $\mathcal{M}(\nu)^* \lambda_2$, over $\mathcal{M}_g$ – hence they can only differ by a global holomorphic function on the base space $\mathcal{M}_g$. By the Satake-Hartogs argument, recall that any holomorphic function on $\mathcal{M}_g$ must be a constant, so we have proved that the two mappings above are necessarily just scalar multiples of each other. Moreover, since the morphism F_π in Theorem 5.2 was chosen to be an isometry with respect to the appropriate powers of Quillen metrics, the scalar under concern must be of norm one. By adjusting F_ν by the appropriate scale factor (which is anyway at our disposal), we can therefore choose the morphisms involved so that the diagram commutes, as desired.

V.2. The Main Theorems: We are now in a position to formulate our main results as the construction of a certain sequence of canonical DET_m line bundles over the ind-spaces $\mathcal{T}_\infty^{ch}(X)$ and $\mathcal{M}_\infty(X)$ and obtain the desired Mumford isomorphisms between the relevant tensor powers of these bundles.

Line bundles on “ind-spaces”: A line bundle on the inductive limit of an inductive system of varieties or analytic spaces, is, by definition ([Sha]), a collection of line bundles on each stratum (i.e., each member of the inductive system of spaces) together with compatible bundle maps. The compatibility condition for the bundle maps is the obvious one relating to their behavior with respect to compositions, and guarantees that the bundles themselves fit into an inductive system.

Now, a line bundle with Hermitian metric on an inductive limit space is a collection of hermitian metrics for the line bundles over each stratum such that the connecting bundle maps are unitary. The isomorphism class of such a direct system of Hermitian line bundles (over a direct system of spaces), can clearly be thought of as an element of the inverse limit of the groups consisting of isomorphism classes of holomorphic Hermitian line bundles on the stratifying spaces. (The group operation is defined by tensor product.)

For any complex space M , let us denote by $\text{Pich}(M)$ the group consisting of the isometric isomorphism classes of holomorphic Hermitian line bundles on M . Moreover, let $\text{Pich}(M)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ denote $\text{Pich}(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, this is constituted by the isomorphism classes of “rational” holomorphic Hermitian line bundles over M .

For any *inductive* system of spaces M_i , one obtains a corresponding *projective* system of groups $\text{Pich}(M_i)$ – whose limit will be denoted by $\lim_{\leftarrow} \text{Pich}(M_i)$. A rational Hermitian line bundle over the inductive limit space $\lim_{\rightarrow} M_i$ is then, by definition, an element of $\lim_{\leftarrow} \text{Pich}(M_i)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Our main result is to create natural elements, related by the relevant Mumford isomorphisms, of $\lim_{\leftarrow} \text{Pich}(\mathcal{T}_{g_i})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ (and of $\lim_{\leftarrow} \text{Pich}(\mathcal{M}_{g_i})_{\mathbb{Q}}$), as we go through the directed tower of all characteristic coverings over a fixed base surface X of genus g .

Theorem 5.3: “Universal DET line bundles”: *There exist canonical elements of the inverse limit $\lim_{\leftarrow} \text{Pich}(\mathcal{T}_{g_i})_{\mathbb{Q}}$, namely hermitian line bundles on the ind-space $\mathcal{T}_{\infty}^{ch}(X)$, representing the Hodge and higher DET bundles with respective Quillen metrics:*

$$\Lambda_m \in \lim_{\leftarrow} \text{Pich}(\mathcal{T}_{g_i})_{\mathbb{Q}}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}$$

The pullback (namely restriction) of Λ_m to each of the stratifying Teichmüller spaces $\mathcal{T}_{g_i}$ is $(n_i)^{-1}$ times the corresponding determinant bundle DET_m , (with $(n_i)^{-1}$ times its Quillen metric), over the stratum $\mathcal{T}_{g_i}$. Here n_i denotes the degree of the covering from genus g_i to genus g .

Precisely the same statements as above go through on the ind-variety $\mathcal{M}_{\infty}(X)$. The universal line bundles Λ_m live on $\mathcal{M}_{\infty}(X)$, and restrict to each stratum $\mathcal{M}_{g_i}$ as $(n_i)^{-1}$ times the corresponding λ_m bundle over $\mathcal{M}_{g_i}$.

Proof: We may work with modular-invariant bundles over the Teichmüller spaces, the construction over the inductive limit of moduli spaces being identical.

The foundational work is already done in Section V.1 above. In fact, let $\lambda_{0,i}$ represent the Hodge bundle with Quillen metric in $\text{Pich}(\mathcal{T}_{g_i})_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then, for any $i \in I$, taking the element

$$(1/n_i)\lambda_{0,i} \in \text{Pich}(\mathcal{T}_{g_i})_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

provides us a compatible family of hermitian line bundles (in the rational Pic) over the stratifying Teichmüller spaces – as required in the definition of line bundles over ind-spaces. The connecting family of bundle maps is determined (up to a scalar) by Theorem 5.2.

Notice that prescribing a base point in $\mathcal{T}_g$ fixes a compatible family of base points in each Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{g_i}$ (and, therefore, also in each moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g_i}$). If we choose a vector of unit norm in the fiber over each of these base points, then that procedure rigidifies uniquely all the scaling factor ambiguities in the choice of the connecting bundle maps. Then the connecting unitary bundle maps for the above collection become *compatible*, and we have therefore constructed the universal Hodge, Λ_0 , over $\mathcal{T}_{\infty}^{ch}(X)$ (and, by the same proof, over $\mathcal{M}_{\infty}(X)$).

Naturally, the above analysis can be repeated verbatim for each of the d-bar families, and one thus obtains elements Λ_m for each integer m . Again the pullback of Λ_m to any of the stratifying $\mathcal{T}_{g_i}$ produces $(n_i)^{-1}$ times the m -th *DET* bundle (with appropriate power of the Quillen metric) living over that space. $\square$

Theorem 5.4: “Universal Mumford isomorphisms”: *Over the inductive limit of Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{\infty}^{ch}(X)$, or over the ind-variety $\mathcal{M}_{\infty}(X)$, we have for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$:*

$$\Lambda_m = \Lambda_0^{\otimes (6m^2 - 6m + 1)}$$

as equality of hermitian line bundles.

Proof: Follows directly from the genus-by-genus isomorphisms of (4.4) and our universal line bundle construction above. $\square$

References

- [Alv] O. Alvarez, Theory of strings with boundaries: fluctuations, topology and quantum geometry, *Nucl. Phys.*, *B216*, (1983), 125-184.
- [AC] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, The Picard group of the moduli spaces of curves. *Topology*, *26*, (1987), 153-171.
- [BK] A. Belavin, V. Knizhnik, Complex geometry and quantum string theory. *Phys. Lett.*, *168 B*, (1986), 201-206.
- [BF] J. Bismut, D. Freed, The analysis of elliptic families :metrics and connections on determinant bundles. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, *106*, (1986), 159-176.
- [BGS] J.Bismut, H.Gillet and C.Soulé, Analytic torsion and holomorphic determinant bundles, I,II,III, *Comm. Math Phys.*, *115*, 1988, 49-78, 79-126, 301-351.
- [BNS] I. Biswas, S. Nag and D. Sullivan, Determinant bundles, Quillen metrics and Mumford isomorphisms over the Universal Commensurability Teichmüller Space, *Acta Mathematica*, *176*, no. 2, (1996), 145-169.
- [Bos] J.B. Bost, Fibres determinants, determinants regularises et mesures sur les espaces de modules des courbes complexes, *Semin. Bourbaki*, *152-153*, (1987), 113-149.
- [D] P. Deligne, Le déterminant de la cohomologie. *Contemporary Math.*, *67*, (1987), 93-177.
- [Har] J. Harer, The second homology of the mapping class group of an orientable surface, *Invent. Math.*, *72*, (1983), 221-239.
- [H] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer Verlag, (1977).
- [HM] J.Harris and D. Mumford, On the Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of curves, *Invent. Math.*, *67*, (1982), 23-86.
- [Ma] A. Mann, Problem about automorphisms of infinite groups, *Second Intn'l Conf Group Theory*, Debrecen, (1987).
- [MT] F. Menegazzo and M. Tomkinson, Groups with trivial virtual automorphism group, *Israel Jour. Math*, *71*, (1990), 297-308.
- [Mum] D. Mumford, Stability of projective varieties, *Enseign. Math.*, *23*, (1977), 39-100.
- [N1] S. Nag, *The Complex Analytic Theory of Teichmüller Spaces*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, (1988).
- [N2] S. Nag, Mathematics in and out of string theory, *Proc. 37th Taniguchi Symposium "Topology and Teichmüller Spaces"*, Finland 1995, eds. S. Kojima, et. al., World Scientific, (1996), 1-34.
- [NS] S. Nag, D. Sullivan, Teichmüller theory and the universal period mapping via quantum calculus and the $H^{1/2}$ space on the circle, *Osaka J. Math.*, *32*, no.1, (1995), 1-34.

- [NaSa] S. Nag, P. Sankaran, Automorphisms of the universal commensurability Teichmüller space and the absolute Galois group, preprint
- [P] A.M. Polyakov, Quantum geometry of bosonic strings, *Phys. Lett.*, 103B, (1981), 207-210.
- [Q] D. Quillen, Determinants of Cauchy-Riemann operators over a Riemann surface. *Func. Anal. Appl.*, 19, (1985), 31-34.
- [Sha] I.R. Shafarevich, On some infinite-dimensional groups II, *Math USSR Izvest.*, 18, (1982), 185-194.
- [S] D. Sullivan, Relating the universalities of Milnor-Thurston, Feigenbaum and Ahlfors-Bers, in Milnor Festschrift, “*Topological Methods in Modern Mathematics*”, (eds. L.Goldberg and A. Phillips) Publish or Perish, (1993), 543-563.
- [Wol] S. Wolpert, Chern forms and the Riemann tensor for the moduli space of curves, *Invent. Math.*, 85, (1986), 119-145.
- [ZT] P.G. Zograf and L.A. Takhtadzhyan, A local index theorem for families of $\bar{\partial}$ -operators on Riemann surfaces, *Russian Math Surveys*, 42, (1987), 169-190.

Authors’ Addresses:

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research,
Colaba, Bombay 400 005, India; “indranil@math.tifr.res.in”
and: Institut Fourier, 38402 Saint Martin d’Heres, France

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences,
CIT Campus, Madras 600 113, India; “nag@imsc.ernet.in”
and: University of Southern California, Los Angeles,
California 90089-1113, USA; “nag@math.usc.edu”